UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Ann Marie Arbour	Plaintiff	
V.		CIVIL ACTION 05-11730-PBS
The Microoptical C	orp. Defendant	
		OF REFERENCE FOR VE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
After consu	ultation with counsel and af	ter consideration of the various alternative dispute resolution
programs (ADR) av	ailable, I find this matter a	opropriate for ADR and accordingly, refer this case to the
ADR program for a	ssignment of the following:	
N	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUA MINI-TRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFEREI	SUMMARY JURY TRIAL NCE SPECIAL MASTER
<u> </u>	MVATE ALTERNATIVE E	DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM
present with their c which may be requ scheduling conflict	lients or with appropriate s ired for the particular ADR	e designated for the proceeding, counsel are directed to be ettlement authority and to provide any written documentation program. If counsel is engaged on trial or has any es necessary, the provider of the ADR program and other ediately.
DATE: October 18	3, 2005	HON. PATTI B. SARIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE BY: <u>/s/ Robert C. Alba</u> DEPUTY CLERK
	<u>C.</u>	ASE CATEGORY
Admiralty Civil Rights Copyright/Tradema ERISA Labor Relations Personal Injury Shareholder Disput		Antitrust Contract Environmental FELA Medical Malpractice Product Liability
Other		